

Course Title: Literature and Cinema

Course Code: ENG26495

Program: BA, English

Department: Department of English

College: College of Arts

Institution: University of Bisha

Version: 1444

Last Revision Date: 05-08-1444



Table of Contents:

Content	Page
A. General Information about the course	
1. Teaching mode	
2. Contact Hours	
B. Course Learning Outcomes, Teaching Strategies and Assessment Methods	
C. Course Content	
D. Student Assessment Activities	
E. Learning Resources and Facilities	
1. References and Learning Resources	
2. Required Facilities and Equipment	
F. Assessment of Course Quality	
G. Specification Approval Data	



A. General information about the course:

Course Identification
1. Credit hours: 2
2. Course type
a. University □ College □ Department √ Track □ Others □
b. Required □ Elective ⊠
3. Level/year at which this course is offered: Year (4), Level (7)
4. Course general Description
This course will explore issues emanating from a comparative study of cinema and literature. It
will also shed light on questions associated with a consideration of the continuities and
discontinuities between the two discourses, including:
 authorship, auteurtist criticism, and aesthetic authority / authorship; adaptation and intertextuality; form and meaning in literature and cinema; the figurative styles of literature and cinema; narrative and narration in literature and cinema; genre study across the two disciplines 5. Pre-requirements for this course (if any): ENG6261
INTRODUCTION TO LITERATURE
6. Co- requirements for this course (if any): NA
7. Course Main Objective(s)
 Grasp the contrasting textual nature of literature and cinema and how this weighs on productions in each domain. Identify cinematic and literary genres, styles, forms, contexts, and periods. Describe a number of filmic and literary texts from a number of perspectives—textual, theoretical, and critical. Outline and engage critically with a historically wide and generically diverse array of literature and current theories of cinema as text, image, and mediated process. Develop an enhanced understanding of issues emanating from comparative analysis of texts
and adaptations.Demonstrate a historical and contextual sense of the place of literary and cinematic



movement.



1. Teaching mode (mark all that apply)

No	Mode of Instruction	Contact Hours	Percentage
1.	Traditional classroom	25.5	85%
2.	E-learning	4.5	15%

2. Contact Hours (based on the academic semester)

No	Activity	Contact Hours
1.	Lectures	30
	Total	30





B. Course Learning Outcomes (CLOs), Teaching Strategies and Assessment Methods

		Code of CLOs		
Code	Course Learning Outcomes	aligned with	Teaching Strategies	Assessment
		program		Methods
1.0	Knowledge and understand	ing		
1.1	Recognize textual nature of literature and cinema and how this weighs on productions in each domain.	K1	Discussion Lecturing Relate content to students' previous knowledge	Classroom Assignments Quizzes Midterm Tests Both online and classroom Activities Oral tests Online Tests and Assignments Final Exam
1.2	Describe a number of filmic and literary texts from a number of perspectives—textual, theoretical, and critical.	K2	Constant review Detailed explanations Presentation. Corrective feedback. Pair/Group Work. Demos	
1.3	Identify cinematic and literary genres, styles, forms, contexts, and periods.	K1		
2.0	Skills			
2.1	Analyze a historically wide and generically diverse array of literature and current theories of cinema as text, image, and mediated process.	S2	Discussions Lectures Explaining Presentation	Classroom Assignments Quizzes Midterm Tests Both online and classroom
2.2	Critique comparative analysis of texts and adaptations.	S 3	Corrective feedback Pair/Group Work Demos	Activities Oral tests. Online Tests and Assignments Final Exam



Code	Course Learning Outcomes	Code of CLOs aligned with program	Teaching Strategies	Assessment Methods
2.3	Appraise key concepts of aesthetic authority, intertextuality, form, adaptation, and genre.	S3		
3.0	Values, autonomy, and resp	onsibility		
3.1	To become independent and initiative in learning the English language.	V1	Online Learning Lecturing Debate, group	Classroom activities and
3.2	To exhibit teamwork skills and responsibility.	V2	discussions both in classroom and online Presentation Corrective feedback Pair/Group Work Demos	participation, Observing students' performance in pair and group activities and
	To show interculturality and			
3.3	flexibility in dealing with			
	foreign culture aspects.	V3		

C. Course Content

		Conta
No	List of Topics	ct
		Hours
1.	Introduction Auteurist criticism. Introduction to film authorship. Gerstner, David A. "The Practices of Authorship." In Authorship and Film. Edited by David A. Gerstner and Janet Staiger, 3–26. New York: Routledge, 2003.	2
2.	Adaptation and Artistic Production The director as an artist with his own distinctive and very recognizable vision: e.g., Stanley Kubrick, Orson Welles, Tim Burton. Excerpts from films like Barry Lyndon, The Shining, 2001: A Space Odyssey, Sweeney Todd and Alice	4





	in Wonderland will demonstrate how they stamped their own mark on adaptations of existing works.	
3.	Adaptation and Interpretation Film adaptation and the question of fidelity. Novel: Robinson Crusoe (Daniel Defoe) Screening: Robinson Crusoe (Rod Hardy and George T. Miller, 1997)	4
4.	Cinema and Drama Play: Hamlet (William Shakespeare) Screening: Hamlet (Franco Zeffirelli, 1990) Zeffirelli's cinematography and the celebration of the sensual rather than the cerebral. The influence of the action film genre upon Zeffirelli's Hamlet.	4
5.	Cinema and the Novel The Great Gatsby, (F. Scott Fitzgerald) and film (Baz Luhrmann, 2013)	4
6.	Reimagining Cinema Paradigmatic shifts in cinema: new ways of looking at film making from a design perspective. No screening	4
7.	Form, Style, and Genre The evolution of film form, style, and genre. Screening: <i>Casablanca</i> (Curtiz, 1942)	4
8.	Cinema and Tragedy Hitchcock and the tragic muse. Screening: <i>Rope</i> (Hitchcock, 1948)	2
9.	Cinema and Comedy A Modest Proposal (Jonathan Swift) Screening: A Modest Proposal (Jason Oshman, 2009)	2
	Total	30

D. Students Assessment Activities

No	Assessment Activities *	Assessment timing (in week no)	Percentage of Total Assessment Score
1	Quizzes	4-6	10%
2	Midterm	5-7	20%
3	Activities (class-online)	7	5%
4	Assignments	7-9	10%
5	Participation		5%
6	Final Exam	11	50%

^{*}Assessment Activities (i.e., Written test, oral test, oral presentation, group project, essay, etc.)





E. Learning Resources and Facilities

1. References and Learning Resources

Essential References	 Bluestone, George. Novel into Films. California: University of California Press, 1968. Print. Mcfarlane, Brian. Novel to Film: an Introduction to the Theory of Adaptation. Oxford: Clarendon, 2005. Print. 		
Supportive References			
Electronic Materials	http://www.oxfordreference.com/view/10.1093/acref/9780199587261.001.0001/acref-9780199587261-e-0002?rskey=6DPfYH&result=2 https://search.credoreference.com/content/title/chambfilm http://www.openculture.com/freemoviesonline https://www.criterion.com		
Other Learning	Gerstner, David A., and Janet Staiger. Authorship and Film. New York:		
Materials	Routledge, 2003. Print.		

2. Required Facilities and equipment

ltems	Resources	
facilities		
(Classrooms, laboratories, exhibition rooms,	classroom	
simulation rooms, etc.)		
	Electronic whiteboards, movable whiteboards,	
Technology equipment	projector, and educational software, cables to connect	
(projector, smart board, software)	laptops to projectors and either speakers or CD players	
	for audio educational materials.	
Other equipment	High and interest and interest according	
(depending on the nature of the specialty)	High-speed internet and intranet connections.	

F. Assessment of Course Quality

Assessment Areas/Issues	Assessor	Assessment Methods
Effectiveness of teaching	StudentsTeacherProgram CoordinatorPeer Reviewers	 Questionnaires. Direct feedback. Peer reviews reports. Annual staff reports. Course and program reports
Effectiveness of students assessment	• Teacher	 Questionnaires



Assessment Areas/Issues	Assessor	Assessment Methods
	Program Coordinator	Direct feedback.Peer reviews reports
Quality of learning resources	TeacherProgram Coordinator	 Questionnaire. Course and program reports
The extent to which CLOs have been achieved	TeacherProgram Coordinator	Exam results analysis.Course and program reports
Other		

Assessor (Students, Faculty, Program Leaders, Peer Reviewer, Others (specify)

Assessment Methods (Direct, Indirect)

G. Specification Approval Data

COUNCIL /COMMITTEE	
REFERENCE NO.	
DATE	

